Investigation of Removal-inactivation ratio of *Cryptosporidium* for QMRA

K. Ehara*, H. Matsumoto**, K. Yoshizawa***, S. Kuno****

*Bureau of Waterworks, Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 6-7 Midori-cho, Tachikawa-city, Tokyo, JP, ehara-kazuhiro@waterworks.metro.tokyo.jp

**Bureau of Waterworks, Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 6-7 Midori-cho, Tachikawa-city, Tokyo, JP, matsumoto-hiroki@waterworks.metro.tokyo.jp

***Bureau of Waterworks, Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 6-7 Midori-cho, Tachikawa-city, Tokyo, JP, yoshizawa-kenichi@waterworks.metro.tokyo.jp

****Bureau of Waterworks, Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 2-8-1 Nishi-Shinjuku, Shinjuku-Ku, Tokyo, JP Sotaro_Kuno@member.metro.tokyo.jp

Abstract: We studied removal ratios and other data pertaining to *Cryptosporidium*, a chlorine-resistant pathogenic microorganism, at water purification plants (WPPs) to confirm the safety of tap water. Then we evaluated risk using the quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) method. We focused on aerobic spore-forming bacteria as a surrogate for *Cryptosporidium* to calculate the removal ratio for each process, and for ozone treatment we calculated ozone inactivation ratios from dissolved ozone concentrations under multiple conditions. We estimated concentrations in raw water based on *Cryptosporidium* detection results, and we evaluated risk by comparing the removal-inactivation ratios determined in this study with the treatment performance values satisfying the health outcome targets in the WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality. These results confirmed that target treatment performance values were met and tap water was safe.

Keywords: Advanced water treatment; Aerobic spores; Cryptosporidium; Ozone treatment; Risk assessment

Introduction

Cryptosporidium is a protozoan with a wide range of hosts including mammals. When *Cryptosporidium* infects humans, it is known to cause diarrhea, abdominal pain, vomiting, and other symptoms. *Cryptosporidium* is reported to be chronically present in Japanese water resources, ¹⁾ and it is a pathogenic microbe in need of attention from water utilities due to its high chlorine resistance.

By FY 2013, Tokyo Metropolitan Waterworks Bureau (hereinafter called "TMWB") had completed implementation of advanced water treatment in the form of ozone and biological activated carbon (hereinafter called "BAC") adsorption treatment at all water purification plants (hereinafter called "WPPs") on the Tone-gawa River / Arakawa River system and the Tone-gawa River / Edo-gawa River system.²⁾ We then began conducting surveys regarding *Cryptosporidium* treatment performance and risk assessments at the WPPs at which advanced water treatment had been introduced.

In this study, TMWB calculates removal ratios using aerobic spore-forming bacteria as a surrogate for *Cryptosporidium*. Aerobic spore-forming bacteria are microbes that form chlorine-resistant spores and are also present in ordinary raw water at WPPs. Additionally, it has been reported that conservative estimates of *Cryptosporidium* removal performance can be obtained from results of monitoring aerobic spore-forming bacteria removal ratios. ³⁾ However, it is conceivable for inactivation ratios through ozone treatment to differ between *Cryptosporidium* and

aerobic spore-forming bacteria. Thus, it was decided to calculate *Cryptosporidium*'s inactivation ratio from dissolved ozone concentrations and contact time.

For *Cryptosporidium* risk assessment, we decided to use the Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (hereinafter called "QMRA") method in the WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality, fourth edition (hereinafter called "GDWQ"). ⁴) QMRA is a mathematical method of assessing infection risk from human pathogens, and it is useful for understanding and managing risks related to waterborne microbial hazards.

Study 1: Factual surveys of aerobic spore-forming bacteria in WPPs

We conducted surveys of aerobic spore-forming bacteria in water purification processes at a frequency of once per month from FY 2016 to FY 2017. These surveys were conducted at Asaka WPP on the Tone-gawa River / Arakawa River system and Misato WPP on the Tone-gawa River / Edo-gawa River system. The locations of WPPs and rivers are shown on Figure 1, while sample sites at WPPs are shown in Figure 2.

At each sample site, water is sampled from the WPP's internal sampling tap, and aerobic spore-forming bacteria were measured.

Study 2: Surveys of inactivation ratios in ozone treatment







Figure 2: Sample sites in WPPs

Figure 3 portrays a schematic diagram of the 3-level vertical channel bends

countercurrent contact method and BAC adsorption pond, which are used in ozone treatment at Asaka and Misato WPPs.

We used Formula 1 below to calculate inactivation ratios with reference to the United States



Figure 3: Ozone treatment and BAC adsorption pond

Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA)'s "Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule" Toolbox Guidance Manual. ⁵⁾

$$-\text{Log } (I/I_0) = \text{Log } (1 + 2.303 \times k_{10} \times C \times HDT) - \text{Formula } 1$$

Here, k_{10} represents the *Cryptosporidium* inactivation coefficient. It is calculated as per Formula 2 below.

$$k_{10} = 0.0397 \times (1.09757)^T$$
 - Formula 2

T represents the water temperature (°C). *C* represents dissolved ozone concentration per vessel, converted into concentration within the complete mixing vessel (mg/L) as per the formulae in Table 1. *HDT* represents the hydraulic detention time (minutes). However, for

Level 1, no calculations were conducted due to the US EPA's recommendation that it not be included in the *Cryptosporidium* inactivation ratio calculations.⁵⁾

 Table 1: Dissolved ozone concentration conversion

| | Level 2 | Level 3 | Retention vessel | BAC inlet |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Conversion formulae | $C = \frac{C_{out}}{2}$ | $C=\frac{C_{out}}{2}$ | $C = C_{out}$ | C = C_{out} |

Due to facility design and management restrictions of Asaka WPP or Misato WPP, we decided to conduct surveys at Kanamachi WPP on the Tone-gawa River /

Edo-gawa River system. There are no major differences between the various elements of the ozone treatment performed at these three WPPs as shown in Table 2.

| Table 2: Ozone treat | nent facilities at WPPs |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
|----------------------|-------------------------|

| WPP | | Asaka | Misato | Kanamachi | |
|------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Method | | 3-level vertical channel bends countercurrent contact | | | |
| Water depth | | 6.0 m | 6.35 m | 6.3 m | |
| Injection method | | Diffuser method | | | |
| Contact time | Contact vessel | Approx. 12 min. | Approx. 12 min. | Approx. 12 min. | |
| | Retention vessel | Approx. 7 min. | Approx. 10 min. | Approx. 6 min. | |

The surveys of dissolved ozone concentrations at Kanamachi WPP were conducted six times from winter to summer in 2016 to account for the effects of water temperatures. In each survey, the target values of dissolved ozone concentrations at retention vessel outlets were changed at three levels: 0.1 mg/L, 0.2 mg/L, and 0.3 mg/L. Dissolved ozone concentrations were then measured using water samples from each of the vessels in Figure 3. Actual *HDT* was calculated from Kanamachi's ozone treatment vessels and pipe capacities and treated water volumes.

Study 3: QMRA on Cryptosporidium in WPPs

Estimates of Cryptosporidium concentrations in raw water

We estimated *Cryptosporidium* concentration distributions in raw water based on detection results from FY 1997 through 2016 at Asaka and Misato WPPs. Based on

the assumption that a log-normal distribution is appropriate for distributions of microbe concentrations in water, ⁶⁾ we estimated *Cryptosporidium* concentration distributions to fit a log-normal distribution.

For the number of *Cryptosporidium*, we took the logarithm of non-detections (0 oocysts / 10 L) as "detections of less than 1 oocyst / 10 L," and fit it to a normal distribution with an assumed mean and standard deviation. Based on this, we sought a probability distribution for *Cryptosporidium* concentrations in raw water at Asaka and Misato WPPs. Next, using an estimated log-normal distribution, we sought the 97.5th percentile for *Cryptosporidium* concentration.

Risk assessment

We calculated the treatment performance necessary to achieve the per-person annual 10^{-6} DALY of the health outcome target as per GDWQ (hereinafter called "the target treatment performance (*PT*)"). For this calculation, we used the 97.5th percentile for *Cryptosporidium* concentration distributions in raw water.

The calculation process for target treatment performance (*PT*) is shown in Table 3. GDWQ values were used for disease burden (*db*), post-infection onset probability (*P*_{*illVinf*}), and other elements. However, calculations assumed daily unheated drinking-water intake (*V*) to be 300 mL.⁷

| Item | Formulae, etc. | Value |
|---|--|------------------------------------|
| Health outcome targets (HT) | | 1.0×10 ⁻⁶ DALY / year |
| Percentage of susceptible people (f_s) | | 100% (ratio of population) |
| Disease burden per patient (db) | | 1.5×10 ⁻³ DALY |
| Tolerated annual onset risk (P_{ill}) | $P_{ill} = \frac{HT \times 100}{db \times f_s}$ | 6.7×10 ⁻⁴ / year |
| Post-infection onset probability ($P_{ill/inf}$) | | 0.7 |
| Tolerated daily infection risk ($P_{inf,d}$) | $P_{inf,d} = \frac{P_{ill}}{P_{ill/inf}} \div 365$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-6} / \text{day}$ |
| Dose – response relationship (r) | | 2.0×10 ⁻¹ |
| Tolerated daily exposure (E) | $E = \frac{P_{inf,d}}{r}$ | 1.3 × 10 ⁻⁵ units / day |
| Daily unheated drinking-water intake (V) | Assumed 300 mL | 0.3 L |
| Tolerated <i>Cryptosporidium</i> count in 1 L of drinking water (C_D) | $C_D = \frac{E}{V}$ | 4.3 × 10 ⁻⁵ units / L |
| <i>Cryptosporidium</i> count in 1 L of raw water (C_R) | 97.5 percentile | Estimated 97.5 percentile |
| Target treatment performance (PT) | $PT = \log \frac{C_R}{C_D}$ | |

Table 3: Calculation process for target treatment

Finally, we compared the calculated target treatment performance (PT) with the summed removal-inactivation ratios consisting of the removal ratio from aerobic

spore-forming bacteria measurements and the inactivation ratio obtained from surveys of inactivation ratios in ozone treatment.

Results and considerations 1: Factual survey of aerobic spore-forming bacteria in WPPs

Survey results from Asaka and Misato WPPs are shown in Figure 4 and 5 below. We noticed that aerobic spore-forming bacteria in processed water changes seasonally due to the bacteria multiplication of high-water-temperature seasons. We decided to calculate removal ratios of treatment processes using survey results from January through April and October through December because *Cryptosporidium* does not multiply within the environment.



Aerobic spore-forming bacteria concentrations and removal ratios (means of January through April and October through December) for each sample site are shown in Figures 6 and 7. Total removal ratios (means) for all water purification processes at each WPP, excepting ozone treatment, were calculated as 4.31 Log for Asaka WPP and 4.10 Log for Misato WPP.



Results and considerations 2: Survey of inactivation ratios in ozone treatment

For the six surveys conducted at Kanamachi WPP, water temperatures were measured at 8.9°C, 10.0°C, 17.3°C, 22.5°C, 24.4°C, and 28.0°C. *HDT* were calculated from facility capacities and treated water volumes at Kanamachi WPP as follows: approx. 5.4 minutes for each level of contact vessel, approx. 8.5 minutes for retention vessel, and approx. 35 minutes from ozone treatment outlet to BAC adsorption pond inlet.

A graph of *Cryptosporidium* inactivation ratios calculated using Formulae 1 and 2 is shown in Figure 8. As is apparent from the numerical formulae, as well, *Cryptosporidium* inactivation ratios increased in tandem with water temperature and ozone concentration increases.



For the annual range of water **Figure 8:** Inactivation ratios in ozone teratment temperatures, we investigated what *Cryptosporidium* inactivation ratios were attainable, even at the very minimum, from Figure 8. In actual advanced water treatment processes, high concentrations of dissolved ozone result in the occurrence of the byproduct: bromate. For this reason, it is difficult to perform ozone treatment at consistently high concentrations in the range of water temperatures spanning from winter to summer.

At WPPs of TMWB, taking into account the generation of bromate, dissolved ozone concentrations at Level 3 contact vessels or retention vessel outlets are generally managed based on the target values 0.3 mg/L for winter and 0.1 mg/L for summer. At water temperatures of 17.3°C or more, *Cryptosporidium* inactivation ratios of around 0.5 Log were achieved even at target dissolved ozone concentration values of 0.1 mg/L. At low water temperatures of 10.0°C or less, bromate generation is suppressed and it is therefore believed possible to manage dissolved ozone concentration targets of 0.2 mg/L or more. Based on these elements, it was believed

that *Cryptosporidium* inactivation ratios in ozone treatment at actual WPPs are maintained around 0.5 Log at least throughout the year.

Results and considerations 3: QMRA on *Cryptosporidium* in WPPs

Estimates of *Cryptosporidium* concentrations in raw water

Figure 9 shows *Cryptosporidium* concentrations as proportions to the



number of tests performed on Asaka WPP raw water (hereinafter called "Asaka raw water") and on Misato WPP raw water (hereinafter called "Misato raw water") from FY 1997 to FY 2016.

For estimation, non-detections (0 oocysts / 10 L) of *Cryptosporidium* were assumed to be distributed as in Table 4. For each type of raw water, we calculated the natural logarithm of *Cryptosporidium* concentrations, and we sought the mean and standard deviation. The results of this are displayed in Table 5. Based on these results, we estimated probability distributions for *Cryptosporidium* concentrations in Asaka raw water and Misato raw water. *Cryptosporidium* concentration estimates are shown in Figures 10 and 11, rounded to the nearest integer. We calculated the 97.5th percentile of estimated *Cryptosporidium* concentration distributions to be 5.8 oocysts / 10 L for Asaka raw water and 7.0 oocysts / 10 L for Misato raw water.



Risk assessment

We calculated target treatment performance (*PT*) at Asaka and Misato WPPs as per the calculation process in Table 3. However, the 97.5th percentile (*Cryptosporidium* count in 1 L) used for each WPP were 0.6 oocysts / L for Asaka raw water and 0.7 oocysts / L for Misato raw water because *Cryptosporidium* count in 1 L of raw water is used for target treatment performance calculations. Calculations yielded target treatment performance values of 4.14 Log for Asaka WPP and 4.21 Log for Misato WPP.

We calculated the removal-inactivation ratio for each WPP by adding the removal ratios obtained from aerobic spore-forming bacteria measurements and the inactivation ratios obtained from surveys of inactivation ratios in ozone treatment. However, based on survey results, ozone inactivation ratios were taken as 0.5 Log and added to removal ratios. This resulted in removal-inactivation ratios of 4.81 Log for

Asaka WPP and 4.60 Log for Misato WPP.

Figure 12 presents a comparison of acquired target treatment performance values and removal-inactivation ratios. These results showed that removal-inactivation ratios were higher than target treatment performance values for both Asaka and Misato WPPs. From this result, we confirmed that tap water satisfies the per-person annual 10⁻⁶ DALY, the health outcome target required in GDWQ.



Figure 12: Target treatment performance values and removal-inactivation ratios

Conclusion

In our studies, we focused on aerobic spore-forming bacteria as a surrogate for *Cryptosporidium* removal ratios. By calculating removal ratios for aerobic spore-forming bacteria in purification processes at actual WPPs, we were able to understand *Cryptosporidium* removal ratios. For inactivation ratios in ozone treatment, we studied dissolved ozone concentrations in ozone treatment vessels at actual WPPs and determined these ratios by utilizing the US EPA's Toolbox Guidance Manual. We showed that by applying the above methods, we could determine *Cryptosporidium* removal-inactivation ratios at actual WPPs.

We found target treatment performance values that satisfy health outcomes targets target performance in GDWQ. By comparing treatment values and removal-inactivation ratios from our studies, we performed **QMRA** on Cryptosporidium at WPPs. Our results showed that actual WPPs satisfied target treatment performance values, and therefore that there is a reliable supply of tap water that satisfies the per-person annual 10⁻⁶ DALY health outcome targets.

¹⁾ Miyajima, Y., et al. "Investigation of *Cryptosporidum* and *Giardia* in the Tone-gawa and Arakawa River systems." *Journal of Water and Waste*. Vol. 50, No. 8, pp. 676-682 (2008).

²⁾ Tokyo Metropolitan Government Bureau of Waterworks. "Completion of Asaka advanced water treatment facility (2nd phase): Completion of all water purification plants' advanced treatment facilities on the Tone-gawa River system." April 2, 2014 press release. (2014). http://www.waterworks.metro.tokyo.jp/press/h26/press140402-1.html

³⁾ Richard A. Brown & David A. Cornwell: Using spore removal to monitor plant performance for *Cryptosporidium* removal, *JOURNAL AWWA*, 99 (3), pp. 95-109 (2007)

⁴⁾ WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality, four edition (Japanese version). National Institute of Public Health (2012). http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/2011/dwq_guidelines/en/

⁵⁾ The United States Environmental Protection Agency: Long term 2 enhanced surface water treatment rule TOOLBOX GUIDANCE MANUAL (2010)

https://www.epa.gov/dwreginfo/long-term-2-enhanced-sueface-water-treatment-rule-documents#t2toolkit

⁶⁾ Kaneko, M. (compiler). "Countermeasures for pathogenic microbes in waterworks." Maruzen. (2006).

⁷⁾ Itoh, S. "Procedures and Applications of Risk Assessment of Drinking Water." *Journal of Japan Society on Water Environment*, Vol. 39 (A), No. 2, pp. 67-71 (2016).